

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

09/838,545 04/20/2001 Paul Gilson 08157.0010 9270 22852 7590 06/29/2005 EXAMINER FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER BAXTER, JESSICA R LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW ART UNIT PAPER NUME WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413 3731	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW BAXTER, JESSICA R ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	09/838,545	04/20/2001	Paul Gilson	08157.0010	9270
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	22852	7590 06/29/2005		EXAMINER	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			BAXTER, JESSICA R	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			3731	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~
1/
V

Application No. Applicant(s) **GILSON ET AL.** 09/838,545 Notice of Abandonment **Examiner** Art Unit 3731 Jessica R. Baxter -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--This application is abandoned in view of: 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 01 December 2004. (a) A reply was received on ____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of ____ month(s)) which expired on ___ (b) A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) A reply was received on 01 June 2005 but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$____ is insufficient. A balance of \$____ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_____. (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on ____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: The reply received on 01 June 2005 was filed in order to extend the time to file a continuing application. The applicant's representative, Roland McAndrews, confirmed the abandonment of the application in a message left on Friday, 24 June 2005. ANHTUANT. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFF 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.